DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

[Docket No. N-95-3843; FR-3769-N-01]

NOFA for the Traditional Indian Housing Development Program for Fiscal Year 1995

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of funding availability (NOFA) for fiscal year 1995.

SUMMARY: A. This notice announces the availability of funding for Fiscal Year (FY) 1995 for the development of new Indian Housing (IH) units and provides the applicable criteria, processing requirements and action timetable. All Indian housing authorities (IHAs) which have not been determined to be administratively incapable, in accordance with 24 CFR 905.135, are invited to submit applications for Indian Housing developments in accordance with the requirements of this NOFA.

B. This NOFA contains information concerning the purpose of this NOFA; eligibility; available amounts; and the procedures that an IHA must follow to apply for new Indian Housing units. The procedures for rating, ranking, and funding IHA applications are also in this NOFA.

DATES: Applications must be physically received by the Field Office of Native American Programs (FONAP) having jurisdiction over the applicant on or before 3:00 p.m., FONAP local time, March 6, 1995. The applicant shall submit its application(s) for new housing units on Form HUD–52730 with all supporting documentation required by Appendix 2, and for demolition or disposition in accordance with 24 CFR part 905, subpart M.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Applicants may contact the appropriate FONAP for further information. Refer to Appendix 1, for a complete list of FONAPs and telephone numbers.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U. S. C. 3501–3520), the information collection requirements contained in these application procedures for development funds were reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget and assigned OMB control number 2577–0030.

Changes From FY 1994 NOFA

The Indian Housing Development NOFA for FY 1995 is essentially the same document published for the FY 1994 funding cycle with the following substantive changes:

A. Revised program administration criterion. The rating factor for current IHA development pipeline activity has been modified to allow field offices to consider all facilities development, renovation, and/or maintenance activities of an IHA.

B. Regional variations in maximum points available for rating factors. Previous Indian Housing Development NOFAs established a national standard for points to be awarded for each rating factor. To address differences in circumstances in each of the field office jurisdictions, the FY 1995 NOFA includes variations, by FONAP jurisdiction, in the points to be awarded for each rating factor.

C. Regional variations in the maximum unit award table. Previous Indian Housing Development NOFAs established a national standard for the maximum number of units to be awarded for each approved application. To address differences in circumstances in each of the FONAP jurisdictions, the FY 1995 NOFA includes variations, by FONAP jurisdiction, in the maximum units award table.

D. Bonus rating factor. A new factor has been added to the rating criteria which provides up to 5 points for project pre-planning, economical selection of housing sites, and/or innovative approaches to development or financing.

I. New Development

A. Authority

1. Statutory Authority. Sections 5 and 6, U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437c, 1437d), as amended; U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1995; Section 23 U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as added by section 554, Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act; section 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d).

2. Indian Housing Regulations. Indian Housing Development regulations are published at 24 CFR part 905.

3. 24 CFR Part 135. Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low Income Persons. All applicants are herein notified that the provisions of section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended, and the regulations in 24 CFR part 135 are applicable to funding awards made

under this NOFA. One of the purposes of the assistance is to give to the greatest extent feasible, and consistent with existing Federal, State, and local laws and regulations, job training employment, contracting and other economic opportunities to section 3 residents and section 3 business concerns. IHAs and tribes that receive HUD assistance described in this part shall comply with the procedures and requirements of this part to the maximum extent consistent with, but not in derogation of, compliance with section 7(b) of the Indian Self-**Determination and Education** Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450e(b).

B. Development Allocation Amount

The FY 1995 VA–HUD Appropriations Act (Public Law 103–327) made available \$282,000,000 of budget authority for the Indian Housing Development program (new Indian Housing units). Since some of the appropriated funds are to be derived from the recapture of prior year obligations and anticipated carryover funds, the actual amount available may be less.

Each of the FONAP jurisdictions has been designated as the smallest practical area for the allocation of assistance. Funds available for new units will be assigned to the FONAPs consistent with 24 CFR 791.403.

Up to \$20,000,000 of the available Indian Housing Development funds will be made available by the Department in order to provide funds needed to replace units approved for demolition/disposition. Any portion of the \$20,000,000 that is not designated for demolition/disposition replacements by July 1, 1995, as well as any amounts of actual recaptures that are realized and reallotted to the program, will be made available to the six FONAPs on the same basis as the amounts allocated for new units

The competitive process described in this NOFA will be used to select IHA applications to be funded for new Indian Housing units. Departmental compliance with the metropolitan/nonmetropolitan provisions of section 213(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 may require the selection of lower rated metropolitan applications over higher rated non-metropolitan applications. The table below indicates the percentage of grant authority available for new units in FY 1995 for the six FONAPs, inclusive of funds needed to meet off-site sewer and water requirements.

FONAP location	Percentage of total funds
Eastern/Woodlands Southern Plains Northern Plains Southwest Northwest Alaska Total	14.0547 14.7517 11.4959 31.0788 09.0740 19.5449

C. Eligibility for New Housing Units

All IHAs which have not been determined to be administratively incapable in accordance with 24 CFR 905.135, have been organized in accordance with 24 CFR 905.125 and 905.126, and have the required tribal and/or local cooperation agreements as required by the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended, are invited to submit applications for new Indian Housing units.

All IHAs that have developments assisted under the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended, and meet the requirements of 24 CFR part 905 subpart M, may apply for funds for demolition or disposition, whether eligible for new units or not.

D. Development Award Application Process

1. Application Due Date. An IHA may submit an application(s) for a project at any time after the publication date of this NOFA, to the FONAP having jurisdiction over the IHA applicant on or before 3:00 p.m., FONAP local time, March 6, 1995 for new Indian Housing units. The application(s) shall be submitted on Form HUD–52730 and shall be accompanied by all the legal and administrative attachments required by the form and the items specified in Appendix 2. A facsimile of the application will not constitute physical delivery.

The application deadline is firm as to date and hour. HUD will treat as ineligible for consideration any application that is received after the application deadline. Applicants should make early submission of their materials to avoid any risk of loss of eligibility brought about by unanticipated delays or other delivery related problems.

- 2. Application Kit. Application Kit and applicable forms may be obtained from any FONAP listed in Appendix 1.
- 3. Submittal of Complete Application. Completed applications must be submitted to the FONAP having jurisdiction over the IHA applicant at the address/location listed in Appendix 1.
- 4. Action on Application. When the application is received by HUD, HUD will provide written notification to the

IHA showing the date and time the application was received in the FONAP. The FONAP will begin review of the application within 14 calendar days after the application deadline. The application must be complete and must demonstrate legal sufficiency and the IHA must not have been disqualified for funding of new projects, as determined in accordance with 905.135. If it is evident that any application fails to satisfy these technical requirements, the FONAP will immediately return the application and will identify, in writing, the deficiencies. The IHA will be allowed to cure minor technical deficiencies within 14 calendar days of written notification by the FONAP. All responses must be in writing and received within 14 calendar days of the date HUD issues a written notification of deficiency. Under no circumstances may an applicant submit information which would affect the rating of the application after the original due date for application submission.

E. Ranking Factors and Selection Criteria

1. Rating and Ranking. Rating and ranking of applications from IHAs for new Indian Housing units will be done in accordance with 24 CFR 905.220. Applications from new IHAs, or, in the case of an umbrella IHA that has added a new tribe, the application from the new tribe, will receive 100 points. If an IHA that serves more than one tribal government, or, in the case of Alaska, more than one village, submits applications for housing units in several of the communities, each application will be treated separately, for purposes of the number of points awarded. Newly created IHAs for tribes which have previously received housing units under an umbrella IHA shall not be awarded 100 points but scored as an established IHA.

For each FONAP jurisdiction, the rankings will be based on awarding points to each application for the following categories in accordance with the table of maximum points available per category by FONAP jurisdictional area (see g. below):

a. The relative unmet IHA need for housing units compared to the other eligible applications for that program type (i.e., low rent (LR) or mutual help (MH), based on IHA waiting lists and the total number of units in management and in the development pipeline. There should be a separate waiting list for each program type. This need will be measured for each program type by dividing the number of families on the waiting list, by the IHA's total number of units in management and

under development. If the result of this division is greater than 1.00, the maximum points for this category shall be awarded. Otherwise, the result of this division shall be multiplied by the maximum possible points available. If the IHA has 500 or more families on the waiting list, it is awarded the maximum points available for the category.

b. The relative IHA occupancy rate compared to the occupancy rates of other eligible IHA applications for that program type. The occupancy rate for an IHA shall be derived from the most recent data entered in the HUD Management Information Retrieval System (MIRS) national data base. which reports total units available and total units occupied based on information supplied by IHAs on forms submitted periodically to HUD. For all IHA projects in management, the total number of units occupied is divided by the total number of units available, multiplied by 100. This occupancy rate for an IHA will then be divided by the highest occupancy rate of any IHA (never to exceed 97%, in any event), and this ratio shall be multiplied by the maximum points available for the category to calculate an IHA's points for this category. An existing IHA that is applying for a previously unfunded program type will be awarded a score equal to the highest rated score for this factor in the FONAP jurisdiction competition. A newly created IHA for a tribe which previously received housing units under an Umbrella IHA shall be awarded a score based on the units within such tribe's jurisdiction whether or not such units have been transferred to the newly created IHA.

c. Length of time since the last Program Reservation date. The number of days from January 1, 1995 to the date of the last Program Reservation for an IHA shall be divided by the longest time, in number of days, since the last Program Reservation for any IHA. This ratio shall be multiplied by the maximum points available for the category to calculate an IHA's points for this category. A newly created IHA for a tribe which previously received housing units under an Umbrella IHA shall be awarded a score based on the last Program Reservation for units within such tribe's jurisdiction. Units received for demolition or disposition purposes will not be counted for rating and ranking purposes for new Indian Housing units in FY 1995.

d. Current IHA development and physical improvements activity. This factor evaluates the IHA's performance during the past 24 months in developing new housing or maintaining/improving current housing. The FONAP will

evaluate the IHA's performance in these areas and will award points based upon but not limited to:

- (1) Submittal of approvable Development Programs within the time frames prescribed in the IHA's planning schedules:
- (2) Construction start within 30 months of Program Reservation, not including time under statutory exclusion:
- (3) Submittal of Actual Development Cost Certificates within 24 months after the Date of Full Availability;
- (4) Compliance with CompGrant/modernization implementation schedules:
- (5) Effectiveness of maintenance policies and procedures in protecting physical assets of the IHA;
- (6) Effectiveness of the IHA's development and physical improvements contract administration.

The FONAP will prepare written support for the number of points awarded which will be available to the IHA upon request. The FONAP shall take into consideration any unforeseen events such as natural disasters or other factors that may have precluded the IHA from meeting the criteria for this factor. The maximum points available for this category are listed in the table under g. below. A newly created IHA for a tribe which previously received housing units under an Umbrella IHA shall be awarded a score based on the IHA's plan for developing and maintaining the units.

- e. A bonus of up to 5 points will be awarded to any application where the applicant clearly demonstrates:
- (1) Pre-planning of site selection and coordination with other funding agencies, utility companies, and tribal departments, or

- (2) That the applicant has identified and selected sites for the development which result in savings of not less than 5 percent of the proposed development cost from using existing utility systems, pre-developed subdivision sites, or other items documented by the applicant.
- (3) Innovative approaches to development or financing which will significantly reduce the delivery time of housing or expand the number of houses developed without reducing quality.
- f. Computation. Scores for ranking shall be carried out to two decimal places (xx.xx).
- g. Points available for each rating category. The following table reflects the maximum points available for each category for each of the FONAP jurisdictional areas:

POINTS AWARDED FOR RATING FACTORS

	(a) Need	(b) Occu- pancy	(c) Time	(d) Work- load
Eastern/Woodlands	30	30	20	20
Southern Plains	35	10	25	30
Northern Plains	30	20	20	30
Southwest	40	20	20	20
Northwest	10	10	20	60
Alaska	40	20	20	20

- 2. Selection Criteria.
- a. The ranking process will produce an ordered list of IHA applications by FONAP jurisdiction that may receive funding. The order is established by the total number of points the application received in the rating process. If any funds remain after the initial funding cycle within the FONAP jurisdiction,

the funds will be provided to more fully fund applications that were reduced due to the Maximum Units Award table shown in paragraph b below.

b. The number of units awarded shall be based upon the following table to ensure a more equitable distribution and meaningful competition based on need. Exceptions to the maximum number of units awarded based on the table shall be made and approved by the FONAP Administrator upon proper justification. Examples of justifications for varying from the table include equalization of units awarded to IHAs with similar scores or adjustments to assure the award of reasonably sized projects to all IHAs above a minimum score determined by the FONAP.

Total of all units IHA requested in application(s) by program type	Eastern/ Wood- lands	Southern Plains	Northern Plains	Southwest	Northwest	Alaska
1,000 and above	300	300	100	240	300	300
750 to 999	200	200	90	160	200	200
500 to 749	150	150	80	120	100	150
400 to 499	100	100	70	80	80	100
300 to 399	80	80	60	64	60	80
200 to 299	60	60	50	48	40	60
199 and fewer	40	40	40	32	20	40

If an IHA that serves more than one tribal government, or in the case of Alaska, more than one village, submits applications for housing units in several of the communities, each application will be treated separately, for purposes of the number of units awarded.

c. Tie breaker. In the case of ties, priority will be given to the application

that has the highest ratio of units to: (1) Pre-approved sites, and, if there is still a tie: (2) BIA approved leases for the proposed project site(s).

3. Replacement Housing. IHA applications for demolition or disposition may require a commitment for replacement housing units on a one for one replacement to comply with

requirements of Section 18 of the U.S. Housing Act, as amended. IHAs are to process requests for demolition or disposition in accordance with 24 CFR part 905, subpart M.

II. Other Matters

A. HUD Reform Act

- 1. Required Disclosures by Applicants
- a. Disclosures. All applicants are required to disclose information with respect to any additional funds that can reasonably be expected to be received by them as assistance in excess of \$200,000 (in the aggregate) during the Fiscal Year that will be related to the project. Disclosure must be made relative to any related assistance from the Federal instrumentalities (other than HUD), a state, or a unit of general local government that is expected to be made available with respect to the project for which the applicant is seeking assistance. The assistance shall include but not be limited to any loan, grant, guarantee, insurance, payment, rebate, subsidy, credit, tax benefit, or any other form of direct or indirect assistance.
- b. Updates. The IHA applicant shall update this disclosure within 30 days of any substantial change. This update is required during the period when an application is pending or assistance is being provided.
- 2. Prohibited Disclosures by HUD Employees

HUD's regulation implementing section 103 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 was published May 13, 1991 (56 FR 22088) and became effective on June 12, 1991. That regulation, codified as 24 CFR part 4, applies to this funding competition. The requirements of the rule continue to apply until the selection of successful applicants. HUD employees involved in the review of applications and in the making of funding decisions are restrained by part 4 from providing advance information to any person (other than an authorized employee of HUD) concerning funding decisions, or from otherwise giving any applicant an unfair competitive advantage. Persons who apply for assistance in this competition should confine their inquiries to the subject areas permitted under 24 CFR Part 4.

Applicants who have questions should contact the HUD Office of Ethics (202) 708–3815. (This is not a toll-free number). The Office of Ethics can provide information of a general nature to HUD employees, as well. However, a HUD employee who has specific

program questions, such as whether particular subject matter can be discussed with persons outside the Department, should contact his or her FONAP counsel, or headquarters counsel for the Indian Housing Development program.

B. Lobbying

Section 319 of the Department of the **Interior and Related Agencies** Appropriations Act hereafter referred to as the "Byrd amendment," prohibits grantees from using any federally appropriated funds to influence federal employees, members of Congress, and congressional staff regarding specific grants or contracts. The Department has determined that the requirements of the Byrd amendment do not apply to IHAs established by a tribal government exercising its sovereign powers with respect to expenditures specifically permitted by other Federal law. The Byrd amendment requires all IHAs established under state law to submit the following documents for applications for grants exceeding \$100,000.

- 1. Certification. A certification that no federal appropriated funds will be used for lobbying purposes. The certification shall be submitted on the Form entitled "Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans and Cooperative Agreements".
- 2. Disclosure Document. A document disclosing any lobbying activities (on Standard Form—LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities") where any funds other than federally appropriated funds will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, and congressional staff regarding specific grants or contracts.

C. Conversions

During the first 24 months after Program Reservation, project conversion between program type (LR or MH) may only be considered where:

1. An IHA submitted projects for mutual help (MH) and low rent (LR), each scored high enough to be funded, and the IHA has the waiting list to support the conversion, or

2. If only one application was submitted and approved, the application upon re-ranking in the other program has to score at least 0.01 higher than the number of points achieved by the highest rated application from any IHA which was not funded. If neither

circumstance exists, the request to convert will not be approved.

D. Errors in Ranking and Rating Fiscal Year 1994

- 1. Errors made by a FONAP during the 1994 fiscal year rating and ranking that resulted in a change of rank order detrimental to an IHA may be corrected as follows:
- a. The FONAP will construct a hypothetical distribution that would have existed if the error had not been made, and
- b. The FONAP will determine what the unit award/funding would have been for the IHA subject to the funds that were available at the time.
- 2. Remedial action will be taken for errors made by a FONAP as follows:
- a. The FONAP will deduct any funds needed from the FY 1995 fair share assigned to that FONAP before any FY 1995 rating and rankings are completed.
- b. A correction of an error for an IHA will not adversely affect the IHA participation on the FY 1995 rating and ranking process. The IHA's application will be rated and ranked on the same basis as other applications and as if no error was made.

E. Environment

A Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the environment has been made in accordance with HUD regulations that implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U. S. C. 4332). The Finding of No Significant Impact is available for public inspection during business hours in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, room 10276, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20410.

F. Other Federal Requirements

In order to be eligible for funding, activities must be in compliance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and implementing regulations at 24 CFR 8 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) and implementing regulations for Title II of the ADA issued by the Department of Justice at 28 CFR 35.

Dated: December 14, 1994.

Michael B. Janis,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

APPENDIX 1.—LISTING OF FIELD OFFICES OF NATIVE AMERICAN PROGRAMS

IHAs located	ONAP address		
East of the Mississippi River (including all of Minnesota) and Iowa.	Eastern/Woodlands Office of Native American Programs, 5P, Metcalfe Federal Building, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3507, (312) 353–1282 or (800) 735–3239, TDD Numbers: 1–800–927–9275 or 312–886–3741.		
Louisiana, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas except for Isleta del Sur.	Southern Plains Office of Native American Programs, 6.IPI, Murrah Federal Building, 200 NW 5th Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102–3202, (405) 231–4101, TDD Numbers: 405–231–4181 or 405–231–4891.		
Colorado, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming. Arizona, California, New Mexico, Nevada, and Isleta del Sur in Texas.	Northern Plains Office of Native American Programs, 8P, First Interstate Tower North, 633 17th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–3607, (303) 672–5462, TDD Number: 303–844–6158. Southwest Office of Native American Programs, 9EPID, Two Arizona Center, 400 North Fifth Street, Suite 1650, Phoenix, Arizona 85004–2361, (602) 379–4156, TDD Number: 602–379–4461;		
	or Albuquerque Division of Native American Programs, 9EPIDI Albuquerque Plaza, 201 3rd Street, NW, Suite 1830, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102–3368, (505) 766–1372, TDD Number: None.		
Idaho, Oregon, and Washington	Northwest Office of Native American Programs, 10PI, 909 First Avenue, Suite 300, Seattle, Washington 98104–1000, (206) 220–5270, TDD Number: (206) 220–5185.		
Alaska	Alaska Office of Native American Programs, 10.1PI, 949 East 36th Avenue, Suite 401, Anchorage, Alaska 99508–4399, (907) 271–4633, TDD Number: (907) 271–4328.		

Apendix 2

New Indian Housing Development Application Submission Checklist.

Note: Certain submission requirements listed on the following checklist are included on the application form HUD-52730. It is the responsibility of the IHA to assure that all submission requirements of the checklist are met whether through the application form or by separate submittal:

1. Application Form HUD-52730: _____ Complete application on Form HUD-52730 (5/94).

_____ Attach all exhibits and tables as required.

2. IHA Resolution(s): each application must be accompanied by an IHA Resolution which contains the

A statement that authorizes the submission of the application for units.

____ A statement explaining how solid waste disposal for the proposed development will be addressed.

_____ A statement regarding the planned access to public utility services and a listing of any official commitment(s) for these utility services for the development.

The IHA Resolution must advise HUD of any persons with a pecuniary interest in the proposed development. Persons with a pecuniary interest in the development shall include but not be limited to any developers, contractors, and consultants involved in the application, planning, construction, or implementation of the development. (During the period

when an application is pending or assistance is being provided, the applicant shall update the disclosure required within thirty days of any substantial change.)

3. Certifications: Each application must contain the following certifications provided by the Executive Director on IHA letterhead, in addition to the certifications included on Form HUD–52730 (5/94).

_____ Certification Regarding
Drug-Free Workplace Requirements
as directed by 24 CFR 24.630(b).

_____ Certification that the IHA has complied with all requirements of 24 CFR Part 135, which implements Section 3 of the HUD Act of 1968, as amended.

4. Letters: Each IHA application must be accompanied by a letter of support signed by the CEO of the general local government indicating:

_____ Support for the proposed application and development.

Support for the İHA's intent to apply for planning funds for the

development.

Where applicable, assurance to HUD that access road needs will be identified by Tribal Resolution (with BIA concurrence) and entered on the BIA Indian Reservation Roads prioritization schedule used by BIA for resource allocation(25 CFR part 170: 57 BIAM 4 and Supplement 4; and 24 CFR part 905 B, appendix I, item 6).

Acknowledgement that there is a need for the housing assistance applied for that is not being met by private enterprise.

Assurance that there are, or will be available, public facilities and services adequate to serve the proposed housing. (If available,

Tribal support is evidenced by attached letters from various organizations that will provide utilities and services to the proposed housing units.)

5. Supporting Documentation: Each application must be accompanied by the following supporting documentation:

Disclosure of additional assistance from other sources that will be used in association with the project for which the applicant is seeking assistance.

_____ Statement specifying the number of eligible applicant families by program type (LR or MH). The statement must be supported by a sufficient number of current applications from eligible families maintained by the IHA.

Identify sites proposed for Mutual Help development in the application in accordance with 905.230, 905.245, and 905.407.

6. Items That Should be Submitted, If Not Previously Submitted:

____ Certified Copy of the
Transcript of Proceedings
containing the IHA Resolution
pursuant to which the Application
is being made.

_____ IHA Organization Transcript or General Certificate.

Tribal Ordinance
Cooperation Agreements.

Where the provisions of the necessary local government cooperation are not contained in the ordinance or other enactment creating the IHA, the IHA shall submit an executed cooperation agreement (or copy of an existing one) for the location involved, which is sufficient to cover the number of units in the application.

7. Optional Items:

Preliminary Site Reports indicating pre-approved sites, and BIA approved leases for the proposed project site(s), if any. 8. Force Account. To enable the Field Office of Native American Programs to make an initial determination of the viability of the proposal, there are additional submission requirements for the application, including:

_ IHA justification for HUD approval of the force account method, pursuant to 24 CFR 905.215(a)(6).

IHA or Tribal resolution agreeing to cover any costs in excess of the HUD-approved estimated construction cost.

Evidence that either the IHA or Tribe has the resources to cover such excess costs.

An action plan as outlined in HUD Handbook 7450.01 REV-1, Chapter 14, paragraph 14–5.

[FR Doc. 95-1416 Filed 1-19-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210-33-P